FOR DEFENSIVE ARMES:

A Copy of a Letter written by
M'STEPHEN MARSHALL

To a friend of his in the City, for the necessary vindication of himself and his Ministerie, against that altogether groundlesse, most unjust, and ungodly aspersion cast upon him by certain Malignants in the City, and lately printed at Oxford, in their Mendacium Aulicum, otherwise called, Mercurius Aulicus, and sent abroad into other Nations to his perpetual infamie.

In which Letter the acculation is fully answered.

And together with that, the lawfulnesse of the Parliaments taking up Defensive Arms is briefly and learnedly afferted and demonstrated,

Texts of scripture cleared, all Objections to the contrary answered,

to the full satisfaction of all those that desire to have their consciences informed in this great Controversie.

HOSEA 4. 1, 2,3.

Hear the word of the Lord, ye Children of Ifrael, for the Lord hash a contreversie with the inhabitants of the Land, because there is no truth, nor mercy, nor knowledge of God in the Land.

By frearing, and lying, and killing, and stealing, and whoring, they break

out, My blond toucheth blond.

Thereflie shall the Land mourn, &c.

LONDON,

Printed for Samuel Gellibrand, at the Braven Sement

1368 DEFENSIVE ARMES: A. Copy of a Loncer writer by MISTEPHEN MARSHILL str go highl of his in the City, for the necessary vindication of himself bu d his Migitterie, agrinkt fur altogether groundlesse, mort unjult, 10 and ungodly aspertion call upon him by certain Maligrants in the id City, and lately printed at Oxford, in their Mendarium Aulicum, otherwise called Mercurius Aulieus, and lene aproad into other Nations colvis perpetual infamie. newhich Letter the acculation is fully answered. band together with that, the lawfulnesse of the Parliaments taking up mive Anns is birefly and learnedly afferted and demonfirated, Lexis of ectionine cleared, all Objections to the contrary antiwered, to the will locish thion of all thoic that define to have then conlciences informed manis great Controverties HOSHA.4. 1, 255. The westernish of the Lord, ye Children of Theach, for the Lord but a conerange income the entirely anne of the Land, because there is no reads, nor mercy nor a swinder of God in the Land.

LONDON,

By however, and tring, and killing, and feating, and whoring, the creak

the same statement of the bloom

with a man to the first the first

李专家家等专家家等专家家等等

me) but through mero wound the Canle, in which r Our Letters brought not the first tidings of the continuance and encrease of those strange reports concer-ning me, they filled the City even while I was there, and I perceive, pursue mee into the Countrey: it is a lying spirit which God hath permitted to haunt me for my triall, as it hath done others of his servants before e. You know what a book Bolfee wrote of the life and death of M. dvin: Bezalived to write a confutation of a book written of his rebuncing his Religion, and turning Papist. And concerning Luther, e Priests had long reported, that he had his call from the Devill; id to confirm it, filled Italy with a rumour of his death, and that at s death he was carried away by the Devill soul and body : which ey (good soules) divulged not to discredite the man, but in glori-Iesu Christi, to the glory of Christ, and comfort of the godly. The e usage my self have lately met with in some degree : for being afflied with a deep cold and distillation from my head upon my lungs, d some severish distempers, my learned, loving, and carefull Physitifinding that the too importune vifits of my many loving friends, ocsioned too much speech, and thereby too much expence of spirits, adsed me to remove to the house of my NobleLord of Warwick, where hould have more ayre; and lesse company: hereupon a report was mediatly spread about the City, that I was distracted, and in my rage nstantly cried out, I was damned for appearing in, and adhering to e Parliament and Kingdome in this defensive Warre: which when I It heard, I looked upon as a calumnie invented by some simple advery (though malicious enough to my person and ministery) who find-g it the readiest way to reproach me, betook himself to this. But after-ards observing how studiously it was maintained, how laboriously opagated, how banded from Court to City, from City to Countrey, m England to forraign parts, Mercurius Aulicus printed it, and a at Officer of State having sent it into other Kingdomes with his tters, affuring the truth of it, and that not mine dayes, no not a month

I know it will fatisfie you, that I folemnly protest unto you, that in a these fourteen weeks keeping in, I never had an houres sicknesse, nor lo a nights fleep, nor had any distemper in my head, nor saw any cause forrow for my adhering to the Parliaments cause, but esteem it a gre honour and mercy from God, that he should move his Excellent my Lord, to require my service in this great expedition; and that have even therefore exactly followed the Doctors prescriptions, o of an earnest desire to be fitted for my work, that I might returne my most honoured Lord, being fully resolved, if God say Amen it, never to give it over, untill either there be an end of that work, or a end of my dayes. This I think will fatisfie you, and it is possibly as much as you desire for the satisfaction of others, to have this under my han Take this concerning the cause, and concerning the report spread of m what Luther said (of those above mentioned) concerning himselfe fateor & testor bac mea mana, &c. I professe and testifie under my han that I entertained this fiction of my distraction and death, let aque men & bilari vulta, very chearfully.

But fince your love hath compelled me to put pen to paper, I she compell you to read the largest letter that ever I wrote, being resolve to give you a full account both of my ground and warrant of entri

me upon my office, and how far I am from changing my judgement upon

ou the present view of things.

tre

eac

is

elec

yt

m

pro

nde

191

on

) a

n a

lo

e

re

no

at

0

e

14

ud

n

n fe

When his Excellency vouchsafed to require my service (for, God le knows, I offered not my felf in this great work) there were but two questions (besides my care to walk aright in my Ministry) for my conscience to be resolved in. First, whether upon supposall of the truth of the Parliament votes, viz. That his Majestie seduced by wicked Councell, did levie warre against the Parliament; the Scripture did warrant them to take up defensive Arms. Secondly, Whether the Parliament was not mis-informed about such his Majesties purpose, and practice. The first is a meere question in Divinity. viz. Whether a peoplesespecially the representative body of a State, may (after all humble Remonstrances) defend themselves against the unlawfull violence of the Supream Magistrate, or his Instruments, Endeavouring (and that in matters of great moment) to deprive them of their lawfull Liberties.

The second is a question meerly of matter of fact.

For the first, Before the beginning of these unhappy differences, I had both learned, and taught to this purpose. First, That it is agreeable to Gods will, that in all Countreys (especially when and where the people are numerous) Magistracie be set up, with a sufficiencie of power and authoritie to rule for the publick good; and that, even among them who are under the scepter of Christ, against the Anabaptists. Secondly, That among the divers kinds of lawfull governments, Monarchy, Aristocracy, and Democracy, no one of them is so appointed of God, as to exclude the other from being a lawfull government. Thirdly, That the bounds and limits of the Magistrates lawfull power of commanding, and the subjects necessary obeying, must be found, and taken out of the severall Laws, Customes, and Constitutions of those severall States, and Commonwealths: There are scarce two formes (especially of Regall government, in the world) but they differ one from the other, and that in matters of moment. Now I say what the power of Magistrates in one Countrey differs from th power of Magistrates in another Countrey; and how the duty of Sub jects differs in each, must be found only in the Laws of the respective places: that no mans right must be detained from him, that Cafe hould have rendred to him the things that are Cafars, and all peop

the things that are their own, the Scripture, and Laws of all nations doe determine. But whether (for instance in England) Ship-money be the Kings right, and fo to be yeelded, or denyed; whether this house or inheritance be this or the other pretenders to it, must not be determined by any Law; but by the Law of England; go therefore to the Lawes, and learned Lawyers, and from them alone you shall learn what is the Prerogative of the Prince, and both the Duty, and Liberty of the Subject. But then fourthly comes in Religion, or the command of God, and binds the consciences of Magistrates to rule, and of Subjects to obey, according to those Lawes. And fifthly (in particular) of Subjects it requires these fourthings. First, to render to their Governours, next under God, the greatest fear, and honour, as being Gods vicegerents, as having the greatest beams of his authority put upon them, and therefore called Gods, and all of them the children of the most Secondly, Loyaltie to their persons, and office, that is, obedience according to Law, and patient subjection, when we cannot actively obey, willingly for conscience sake to submit to the penalty of the Laws, when for conscience sake we cannot observe the Laws themselves. Thirdly, maintenance with payment of all lawfull Guitoms, Tributes, and impositions. Fourthly, all manner of supplications, prayers, intercessions, and giving of thanks, their usefulnesse being great, their temptations many, their fall (like that of great Cedars) the crushing of many, and the shaking of the earth round about them; and all this we owe, nor onely to the King as Supream, but in proportion to all inferiour Governours, who are fent by God also for the punishment of evill doers, and for the praise of them that do well, they being all the ministers of God for our good; and this is the first Commandment with promise. But fixthly, if our Governours (whether supream, or inferiour) leave to rule according to Law, and fet up their own will contrary to Law, there is no word of God acquitting them from fin in Gods fight, but feverely threatning them for abusing his name, which they bear; nor any word binding the consciences of their subjects therein to yeeld them any active obedience. Thus farre we have all fides agreeing in all the particulars, except only a few Court flatterers, who (and that especially of late have endeavoured to cry up Monarchy, as the only ordinance of God ; for the Government of States ; as if the other

for and tha enj

yed Co aut lat

> he no til to

th

cli fe vi

Pttt

P

8

8

0

forms of Aristocracy, and Democracy were not approved by him: Yea, and have cryed up the power and authority of princes to be fuch, as that they are absolved from all laws, and that whatsoever the Subjects enjoy under them; is only by the princes favour, which if they pleafe to recall, how justly or unjustly soever, the subjects are bound to veeld all unto them, and have no plea against their Prince, only in the Court of heaven; no law, no judge, no Court here below, having any authority to fay unto him, What doft thou: This Divinity hath of late been preached; and, as sweet enchanting musicke, often chanted in the ears of our Princes: and no doubt was one great occasion of these heavie yokes we have of late groaned under. But these absurdities need no refutation. Egyptian Pharaoh claimed not the wealth of his people, till he had bought it. And Ahab himselfe, who durst not lay claime to Naboths vineyard, without purchase, or colour of confiscation, proclaims their ignorance fufficiently to the world. And among our felves, the constant proceedings of our princes, even in their most heavie illegall exactions, borrowing alwayes a colour of law, and the known laws of the land, enabling the meanest subject to maintain his Propriety, even in a two-penny matter, against his Soveraigne; And the innumerable verdicts, in all Courts, passing for the Subject, against the King; affure me, that unlesse God for our sins should give up our Parliament and State to the vasfallage which this Popish Army would bring it to, we shall hear no more of this Divinity. Vo alle some land

The only Question now is about passive obedience; they who cry down our desensive Arms, confesse that the Magistrate cannot require any thing but by law, and that the subject need not yeeld up his right but by Law, no tie lies upon the conscience of Naboth to let Ahab have his vineyard: but if a Saul will by force take away our somes to eare his ground, and our daughters to be his Consectioners, Cookes, and Bakers; if he will by force take our fields, even the best of them, and give them their servants, we have no help in that day, but preces or laebryme, to cry unto our God: but no liberty to desend our selves by Armes against such tyrannie, if we do (say they) we resist the ordinance of God, and must

receive to our felves damnation.

But if this opinion be weighed in the ballance of Reason, how much

men wil allow me, if the king pretend Law in any thing I may try it out with him, and not when he or his Instruments come with open violence: If the king will sue me, and by pretence of Law seek to take away my coat, my house, my land, I may defend these from him with all the strength of Law I can; but if he come with armed violence to take away my liberty, life, religion, I must yeeld up these without making any relistance: I may secure that, which I have nothing but lex terra to plead my propriety in, viz. my money, which I may give away, and in the mean time my liberty, life, religion, which are mine by the laws of God and man, I may not secure with a good conscience. True it is, if in case it do (upon circumstances duely weighed) appear that our receding from our right, and not relifting wrong, will tend to the promoving of a greater and a more generall good, or the preventing of a greater and a more generall evill, it is agreeable to right reason, and our Saviours rule, Mat. 5, 39. that we should both remit of our right, and submit to wrong, whether fued or enfued, whether to superiours or inferiours, or equals. But that men should give a liberty of defence in Law, and yet absolutely condemne defence against unlawfull violence, is such an anoyor, such an absurdity, as you shall seldome meet with.

But give me leave to weigh it a little further; if the Subjects defending themselves by Armes against the violence of oppressing Governours and their instruments, be unlawfull, either it must be because their Prince hath by conquest spoiled them of that liberty, which God and nature gave them at the first. Or secondly, because they or their Ancestors having submitted by Covenant and Consent to him to bee their supream Ruler according to Law, they must therefore be interpreted to have yeelded up all their Liberty so far as to be now unable with a good Conscience to defend themselves against his violence, though contrary to Law. Or thirdly, because God hath listed up Princes so far above all mortall men, that all hands are by him bound from

daring to relist them.

The first I finde not many pleading, that peoples being conquered, makes it unlawfull for them to defend themselves against the unjust violences of their Conquerours or his Successours: Most of them grant, that the peoples right is to designe the person of their Prince. And in-

robber hath over powerd me in my house, in conscience I am eved to be his servant or slave for ever. Because Eglan hath mightily oppressed Tract for eighteen years, it is unlawfull for them to shake off his yoke when they are able to relist him: Certainly, whatever of mine another takes by violence from me, let him keep it never fo long, it is but Communate injuria, a continued wrong, till I consent to his holding it, And all reason allowes me to recover it again as soon as I can. And fear not to fay, that had William, firnamed the conquerour, taken and held this Crown only by his fword, and ruled over the Nation only by force, and all his successors to this day had no other claim to it, all the reason in the world would allow us to redeem our selves from the yoke, if we were able to helping, himmore able to and

But though the sword begin the Conquest, yet many times th Consent of the people comes in, and makes their Conquerour their law full King, and then fo far as by Covenant or Laws, they agree to b under him for the publike fafety and good, they are bound up from any refistance. But that their parting with some of their liberty for th publike good should (upon the usurpation of him whom they have trusted) deprive them of that liberty which they never parted with. most abhorring to reason. Suppose a free man indents with anoth to be his servant in some ingenious employment, as, suppose to atter upon his person; and expressly indents that his master shall not ha power to command him to rub his horse heels, or fill his dung-cart; the like, If now this mafter shall usurp, and command him to suc fordid employment, and by force feek to compell him to them, for thew of reason (at least) there would be for the servant to plead the his master had forfeited all his power overhim, and that he was from his fervice, and might go feek another master; but no colour reason that the servant hath now forfeited that immunitie from for and drudgery works that he first covenanted, and must thenceforth at his masters feet, as wholly prostitute to all his Imperious humour

Secondly, can it be imagined, by reason that a people submitting a lawfull government, should thereby be necessitated to that whi may overthrow the end of all government, that is, inability to prov for their common fafety. That whereas when they were free and us no government at all, they might by the law of nature defend the

selves against injury: now having submitted, though upon good conditions, they are utterly disabled to defend themselves, if he that should be their Protectour, would prove their Murtherer : If he who both in himselfe and instruments should be onely for the punishment of evill, and the praise of them that doe well, will goe, or fend, or fuffer a company of theeves or murtherers to goe in his name, and spoile and destroy them that do well : can their being subjects (in reason) deprive them of their defence allowed them by the law of nature : yea, were they not guilty of felf-murther in suffering such a thing? For instance, some of our Historians relate of King John, that hee was transported with so deep a hatred against his Nobles and Commons, that he sent in Ambassadour to Miramumalin, entituled the great King of Africa, Morocco, and Spain, wherein he offered to render unto him his Kingdome, and to hold the same from him by tribute as his Soveraigne Lord, to forgoe the Christian faith (which he held vaine) and receive hat of Mahomet; like enough some Court Chaplain (may be the Clerk hat went on the errand) might warrantize the Kings conscience, and ell him, that it was the more shame for them who profest the Christian leligion, to compell him to it. But whether the King did lawully or not, is not our question; but whether the subjects might lawully have relisted that attempt of his, and have stood for their Religin. Lives, and Liberty. And the land will be the house here and the

th

th

f

Thirdly, is it not quite contrary to reason, that whereas Kings and tulers, nothing differing by nature from their meanest subjects, were thirst constituted, and are still continued for the protection, welfare, enesit, yea and service of the people; and who therefore should value reir prerogatives, scepters, and lives, no further then they may advance the publick good; yet if they degenerate, and will be destroyers, the eople should suffer all to be spoiled, as if Kingdomes and people had ten created by God for the will, pleasure, prosit, yea and lusts of Princes. As if a Pilot purposely appointed for the safe wasting over of pasingers, who in stead thereof will dash the ship against the rocks: Or Generall purposely chosen (and to whom the Souldiers have therefer sworne) for the safetic of the whole Army, should yet turn the annon mouth upon his own Souldiers, or deliver them all up into the ands of the enemy: the passengers and souldiers, yea, the officers in

the ship, and councell of war in the Army, should be morally disabled

from doing any thing to prevent their own apparent destruction. By this reason the Bishop of Burgen in the Councel of Basil proved the Councel to be above the Pope, and a kingdome above the King, and

faid they were but flatterers who taught otherwife.

And fourthly, doth not right reason as much abhor this, that whereas Princes are the publick fathers, and the people owe them the duty of children, that these children should be prohibited from keeping their publick fathers from the greatest evils: If our natural stather through ignorance or distemper should go into a pest house, his children might by force setch him out; or if in a raging passion go about to kill himself, wife, children, or any others, their children may disarm them, yea we are tied not to suffer friend or soe to incurre the guilt of rapine or blood, if it lie in our power to hinder it; and (speak to my reason) what evil have Princes deserved, that if they go about to murder themselves, subjects and children, not any of their people, no not the whole body politick should have power to restrain them.

And if reason will allow this liberty of resistance to private persons (as even Barclay and Grotius the two great propugners of the sacred and inviolable power of Kings, grant) how much more clear, honourable, and safe must such a defence needs be, when done by the representative body of a state, who are Gods ordinance as well as kings, the ministers of God sent by him to be a terrour to evill, and a praise to them that do well. And in England are the highest Court of Judicature, and in whom his Majesty consesses there is legally placed sufficient power to

prevent Tyranny. The the thinking a lien an asian

Princes, when bent to subvert their laws and liberties, but even most of the States of Christendome, Papists and Protestants when they have been put to it, have borne defensive Armes against the unlawfull violences of their mis-led Princes.

But now if notwithstanding all this faire shew of reason Gods word ath determined the contrary, we must lay our hands upon our mouths, ad shall no longer deserve to be accounted the servants and subjects Christ, then while we turne our reason (how specious soever) out oddores, when once it offers to oppose the least set of his revealed

(100 0) will. But where is this Scriprure to be found? Certainly the good Subjects in the Old Testament knew it not : Sauls Subjects who swore that Saul hould not kill Fonathan, nor pluck an haire from his head, though Said had Iwomby God he should die ; knew no such Scripture: and I beleeve, that if the same men had bin about him when he protested the Priests of the Lord should die, they would not only have with-held their own, but Dees hands from doing execution. David knew no luch Scripture; nor the 600 men with him, that would have fortified Keilah against Saul. Northole many choice men of the severall Tribes of Ifrael, among whom were some of Saals brethren and kindred and chief officers, that fell to David (though Saul had proclaimed him Traitor) from day to day to help him , till it was a great host like the hoft of God : And all this while David was (though an innocent, yet) but a private man. And I think if Elias had took himself bound in conscience to render himself prisoner to the Captains which Ahaziah sent for him, he would not have killed them with fire from heaven: Neither would Elisha have taken such a rough course with the messengers sent to take his head. Nor would the eighty valiant Priests have thrust UZZiah by force out of the Temple, who was a King still, though a Leper. Neither can these examples be eluded with faying these were extraordinary persons, for first they were not all so, not the people that relisted Saul, nor the people that fell to David, nor the eighty Priests, unlesse in the extraordinarinesse and valiancy of their spirits: And for the extraordinary persons themselves, I know nothing why their examples may not be pleaded, for our Defensive Armes, as well as Davids eating the shewbread was pleaded by our Saviour for his Disciples rubbing the ears of corn, unlesse they can first shew, that their practice was against a known law, I mean, unlesse there were some known law that Innocents might not defend themselves, and one another against the unjust violence of their princes. Indeed we often read in the Old Testament of searing the King, honouring the King, obeying the King, which their practice shews they understood to binde them to yeeld Honour, Loyaltie, Obedience, and Subjection to their Magistrates according to law but not that they were bound to let them doe what mischief they plea

d

O

đ

n

Neither is there any more in the new Testament; there indeed full and frequent exhortations to Submit our Sclves to Magistrates, to Jubject to the higher Powers which are ordained of God, eenot to ref the Ordinance of God, but not one word that we may not relift the T rannie of men, no colour for it, unlesse any will say that Tyranny is God Ordinance, that Tyrants bear the fword for the punishment of evil doer are the Ministers of God, &cc. full proof there is that we must be und the authority of Rulers, that is, under their Legall Commands not o word of being at the dispose of their illegall wills: The word will there is source, derived ab seen licet, to hew, as one observes, that Text bindes subjects to obey Superiours not ad libitum, but ad licin not to obey their lawlesse lusts & wils, but their lawfull authority, wie out relifting. And furely it were strange, that if God had laid this yo of subjection to the illegall will of Rulers, that neither the Jews und their Kings, nor under Amiochus, nor the Churches of Christ, nor the primitive Churches after once their Religion and Liberties were el blished by Laws, nor any of the Reformed Churches have took ther felves concluded under it: which of all the Reformed Churches ha not by their practice manifested, that Religion bindes them not to gi their throats to be cut, or their liberties and flates to be spoiled at t meer will of their Princes and their Instruments, contrary to their or Laws and Edicts ? Were not the Lutheran Churches put to it, and c fended themselves against the Emperour Charles the fifth, when t Smalchaldian confederacie was entring? Did not both the Divis and Lawyers being confulted with, agree, that the inferiour Magistra might at some time refist the Superiour ? Have not the States Churches of the Netherlands done the like constantly against the Ki of Spain? the Protestants in France against their Kings! How of and how lately have our Brethren in Scotland done the same? And though since the Reformation, England was never put to it, untill the unhappie differences, yet how constantly have our most learned vines, Bishops as well as others, defended by their Pens, and our Pr ces and States by their aides of men and money, their diffressed and prefled Brethren and Neighbours in the like cafe: and now in our o light both the King and States have acquitted the Scots, as having eir late defence but what became good

the judgment of this Nation was in the time of popery is plain enough by their practice, in their usual taking of Armes, and not leaving till they had compelled their Princes to ratifie their Priviledges and Charters, which through ill Counfellors they had infringed. And observeable it is, that because the Bishops and Clergie of those times saw the Princes go about to take down their pride, they were ever the most orward to justifie the proceeding of the State, and I suspect, in case the Tables were turned, and we had a King endeavouring to take down the Bishops, to take away Pluralites, Non-residents, &c. And a Parliament seeking to maintain them, the world would hear another Divisitie from many of them, who now cry out, that all our defence is amnable.

But lest I might be thought not to have vveighed the Scripture and easons of both sides equally, I will give you a further account what ny thoughts were, and are concerning the Scriptures usually pleaded rainst this resistance, and the reasons deduced from them. The strongthold they pretend to, is built upon Romans 13. 1.&c.1. Peter 2. 13,14. where we are enjoyned subjection to the Higher Powers, especially to ie King as Supreme, and all know that Nero the then supreme Goverour, was no betterthen a Tyrant. Anjw. First, it is observable that is objection, and almost all the rest taken out of the Scripture, make e case of all Subjects in all Kingdomes to be alike; that although (as touched before) there are hardly two Kingdomes in the world, but do ffer in Lavves, Customes, and Constitutions, bounding the Kings auority, and the Subjects obedience, yet if any of these would change e bounds of his authoritie (for instance, If the King of Denmarke, or veden, or Polonia, vvould invade the libertie of his Subjects, and make mielfe as absolute; (not onely as the King of England, but) as the ng of France, or Spaine, or the great Turke) this argument tyes all their bjects from resisting; let any man shew an out-gate for the Subjects the one, which will not let out others, and for my part I will yeeld cause: If they say these Kings took their Crowns upon those termes, d the Subjects indented to have liberty of relistance in such cases, in they grant that where the Laws of the Kingdome allow a liberty resistance, resistance may be used not with standing these texts, which s much as vve plead for: If any people have covenanted in no cafe

to relist, let them seek another answer, in the mean time these Texts tie not those from relisting (by their own answer) who have not tyed themselves.

- Secondly, I appeal to their own judgements, whether these Texts forbid all forcible relistance; Suppose a Prince in his rage should go about to kill himself, or run some innocent man thorow with his fword, might no man take the fword out of his hand and if it be lawfull for a private man to dif-arme him of the weapons wherewith he would kill one, may not the State take such weapons out of his or the hands of his Instruments, wherewith they go about to destroy all. Thirdly, both Texts lay the same charge for subjection to inferiour Magistrates, who likewise have their authoritie from God, though under the Superiour: As our Saviour said to Pilate, who was but a Deputy, thou couldst have no igousiar, no power at all against me, if it were not given thee from above. And may no relistance be made against the unjust violence of inferiour Officers; if there may, it is sufficient: fure I am, the Texts have not one word to allow the one, and prohibite the other. Fourthly, what one syllable in either of these Texts so much as looks towards the forbidding of a people to relist Tyrannie, but onely that we relist not the Magistrates in the rightfull exercise of their authority given them by God: the Texts speak not of their persons, but of their power, not of their dictates, but of their legall commands, no more of Kings than of an higher power in an Aristocracie, or Democracie, binding all persons to subject themselves to that Power and Authoritie which in the severall places where they live is the highest or supreme power.

Object. But Nero was a Tyrant.

Answ. Not in his five first years; nor secondly, was hea Tyrant in all things; he had authoritie to rule according to Law, that was not his Tyrannie; his Tyrannie was, what he usurped contrary to the Law: nor thirdly, were all his under-officers Tyrants, many of them could say with Festus, Acts 25. It is not the manner of the Romanes to deliver any man to die, before that hee which is accused, have the accusers face to face, and have leave to answer for himself; and would accordingly dismisse them, if they had done nothing worthic of deals, and

object, 2. But doth not the thirteenth Chapter of the Romanes

Infw. By the Supreme power must be meant, that power, which by the original and fundamental Constitution of any people and Nation, hath authoritie to make Laws which shall binde the whole Nation, to dispose of the estates and lives of any person or persons for the good of the Nation, to judge every person and persons in the Nation determinatively and conclusively, so as from that judgement there is no appealing, that power it self being subject to the judgement and authoritie of none but God: and Aristotle makes three distinct Branches of this power.

1. The power of making and repealing Lawes, a Legislative power.
2. The power of making War and Peace, of imposing Customes and

Tributes.

3. The power of judging Causes and Crimes ultimately and decifively: where these three meet, and make their residence, whether in one person, as in absolute Monarchs; or in many, as in mixed Monarchies or Aristocracies; or in the body of the people, as in the ancient Romane government, there is the highest power which every soul is forbidden to resist: But now what ever be the higher power in England, most certain it is, that the Kings absolute or illegall will, is not the highest power, that hath neither power to make Laws, nor repeale Laws; that hath not power to acquit or condemne, nor may men appeal from the Kings lawfull judgement Seat to the Kings absolute will, but his legall will in the highest Court, or the King and Parliament may make Lawes or repeal Lawes, may engage the whole Nation in a War, and command both the Bodies and Purses of men unto the service, is the highest Court of Judicature, to which all my appeal, and from which none may appeal, and confequently against which there is no resistance. So that if men would read this Text of the thirteenth to the Romans in plain English it amounts directly to thus much, Let every foul in England be subject to King and Parliament, for they are the higher powers ordained unto you of God, who soever therefore relisteth King and Parliament, relisteth the Ordinance of God, and they that relist sha lamnation. I would defire no other Text but thi

mentary cause, or to strike terrour into their Loynes; if their long converling with God-dammee's, hath not drawn fuch a Kawl over their hearts, that to them damnation is ridiculous

object. 3. But doth not Saint Peter lay expresly, the King is Supreme,

t

n is

tê d

ne ch

C.

s in

1 Pet, 2. 12.

Answ. 1. It may as well be translated Superiour, as Supreme, the same word in the 13 of the Romans is translated Superiour, higher, not highest. 2. It is plain, the Apostle is not there constituting Governments, but giving direction to people to obey the Government they lived under; and the Text hath as much strength to enforce subjection to Aristocracy, as to Monarchy: If the people of Pontus, Asia, Cappadocia, Bithynia, were under an absolute Monarchy, as sometimes they were, being petty Kingdoms crumbled out of the great Monarchy of Alexander; and it may be did retain yet the same forme of Government, if not of their own, yet as lately received from the Romans; all that can be enforced from thence is, That the Apostle names the Kings of those particular Countries to be such as they were, and commands subjection to them, but no wayes tyes other kingdoms to be like unto them.

object. 4. But we in England by our oaths, do acknowledge the

King to be Supreme. In Me willingly grant Him to be Supreme, to judge all persons in all causes according to His Lawes, and the established Orders of the Kingdom, but not at, or by His absolute will or pleasure. 2. Whoever considers the title, scope, and words, both of the Oath and the Ac of Parliament that enjoynes it, will eafily see that both the Act and Oath were intended in opposition to that Supremacie which the Pop sometimes challenged and usurped in this Kingdome of England, and no more: And this to be the true intent and meaning of it, appears more fully by that explication or limitation of the Oath, made the nex Parliament, 5. Eliz. Wherein it is declared, That that Oath made I. Eliz. shall be taken and expounded in such form, as it is set forth i an admonition added to the Queens Injunctions published, Anno of Her Raign, viz. To confesse or acknowledge in Her, Her Heir and Successors, no other Authority then that which was challenged a

lately used by King Henry the eighth, and Edward the sixth. And by this time you may see how little offensive these two (so much boasted) Texts are to our defensive Arms.

m

fo

m

bi L

object. Other places of Scriptures the adversaries seeme not much to confide in, therefore I will passe them over the more briefly; yet let us a little consider of them, Matth. 26. 52. They that take the sword shall perish with the sword. Where Christ seems to rebuke Peter for using defensive arms against the officers that came with a pretext of authority

to apprehend Christ. I was or pigoog as nollierto eniving the estimate

Answ. 1. This is not a reproof of the sword taken for just defence. but of the sword taken for unjust oppression, and a comfort to those that are oppressed by it; for Origen, Theophylait, Titus, Euthimius, interpret the meaning to be, That Christ doth not rebuke Peter for using defensive Arms, but to let Peter know that he need not snatch Gods Work out of his hand, for God would in due time punish those with the sword, that came thus with the sword against him; and that these words are a Prophesie of the punishment which the Roman sword should enact of the bloudy Jewish Nation; according with the like expression, Revel. 13. 10. He that kills with the sword, must be killed with the (word, here is the patience, and faith of the Saints, that is, This may comfort the Saints in their persecutions, that God will take vengeance for them. But fecondly, Suppose it was a reproof of Peters using the fword; then the plain meaning is to condemn Peters rashnesse, who drew his fword, and never staid to know his Masters minde, whether he should strike or not; and so reproves those who rainly, unlawfully, or doubtingly use the sword: Adde this, That now was the hour come of Christs suffering, and not of his Apostles fighting, wherein Christ would not be rescued, no, not by twelve Legions of Angels, much lesse then by the sword of man; Therefore he saith to Peter, Put up thy word, &c. But intended not that it should alwayes be unlawfull for his people to use the sword in their just defence, against unjust violence; for then he would never have commanded them, but a little before, that be that bath two Coass, let him fell one and buy a fword.

Object. Eccles. 8. 2. &c. I counsell thee to keep the Kings Commandment, &c. He doth whatever he pleaseth, &c. Where the word of a King is, there

s power, and who may (ay to him, What doft thou :

(17) Answ. 1. No man can understand it literally in all things, as if every commandment of the King must be kept, as if no actions of the King might be scanned, nor reproved by any man, as the Canonists say of the Pope, That if he lead thousands to hel, none may say, Why dost thou fo? Surely, if Saul command to murder the Lords Priests; that commandment need not be kept? If David lie with his neighbours wife, Nathan may fay, Why dost thou so? If Ahab murder Naboth, and swallow his inheritance, worship Baal, persecute and kill the Prophets of the Lord, Elijah may reprove him, notwithstanding this Text, Who can (ay unto him what dost thou? Secondly, The Text plainly enough interprets it felf, Keep the Kings commandment, according to the oath of God, stand not in an evil thing against him, he hath power to do whatever he will. Si scelus patraveris, effugere non poteris, If you commit evil, you cannot escape punishment: where the word of a King is, there is power, viz. to punish them that do evil, and none to call him to account for doing it, and who can fay uuto him, What dost thou?

Object. Another text is, Proverb. 8. 15. By me Kings reigne, &c. Whence they plead, that because Kings and Princes receive their authority only from God, and the people at the utmost only designe the Person, but give him none of his power; therefore they may in no case

take away his power from him.

h

et

11

Answ. i. It saith no more of Kings, then of Nobles, Senators, and all other Judges of the earth: for it follows, By me Princes rule, and Nobles, even all the Judges of the Earth. Secondly, Although no such thing is in the Text, that the people give no power to the Magistrate, yet we will suppose it to be true, what then will follow more then this: That although they may not take from the Magistrate that power which God hath given him; yet they may defend themselves against such unjust violences, as God never gave the Magistrate power to commit. A woman hath power to designe the person of her husband to her self, but the authoritie of a husband is from God; now though the wife may not take away the husbands just authority, the may defend her self against oppression and injury.

object. Some alledge Gods judgement upon the two hundred and

fifty Princes, Numb. 16.

Anfiv

Answ. They were Rebels against their lawfull Governours, ruling exactly, according to the expresse will of God. And may all those perish with them who will plead for such as they are.

object. Others alledge, i Sam. 8. 11. where the people are let to understand how they shal be oppressed by their Kings, yet for all that, have no just cause of resistance; for they shall have no other remedy

left them, but preces & lathrima, crying to the Lord, Verl. 8.

Answ. But saith the Text so? Let us read the words a little, and you shall cry out in that day, Because of the King, which you have chosen, and the Lord shall not hear in that day. Is this to say, they have no just cause of resistance, nor no remedy lest, but complaining : Indeed if the Holy Ghost had said, You shal not resist, nor fight for your liberties, &c. there had been some shew of reason for such a deduction, as some would extort from them; but yet, even then, Why might not the words have been a prediction of the curle of God upon the people, giving them up to such a base degenerate ignoble spirit, that they shall have no heart to stand up in the defence of their liberties and lives, rather then a prohibition of such resistance? The Lord foretels the people, Ezek. 24. 21. of calamitous times, in which he tels them, Verse. 24. That they should not mourn or weep; Will any man interpret this, as if God made it unlawful for them to mourn, or to weep, or was it not rather a prediction of their stupidity of spirit, when they should pine away under these calamities? so Fere. 27. God said they should put their necks under the yoke of the Kings of Babylon; Will any man thence gather, That other People are bound to put their necks under the yoke of a forraign enemy invading them ! In one word, the plain meaning is, That this People should dearly rue it for casting off the form of Government which God had chosen for them; and when they should mourn under their oven choice, God would not take the yoke from off their necks; and so it is a threatning of a judgement, not an imposition of a duty.

object. But David durst not lift up his hand against the Lords Anointed, though he did tyrannically persecute him; yea, though it vvere

fometimes in his povver to have killed him.

Answ. No man pleads that any David should kill the Lords Anoin-

1387

ted; yet he may defend himself against his unjust violence, as David

Object. But if they may not kill him, who can be secured? That in battell (as at Keymon field) his bullet may not hit the Lords Anointed.

Answ. Is this their fault, vvho have so often petitioned his Majesti to vvithdraw himself from such dangerous vvayes; as both the Par liament and his Excellencie hath done; if their petitions vvould have been received? or rather theirs, who (the vvorse Subjects they, and the more accursed they) have led Him into these unnaturall Warres and do in a manner inforce His presence in them? Did they bear the affection to His Majestie as they pretend, They vvould vvith David men, Swear, Thou shalt no more go out with us to battell, less thou quench the light of Israel, 2. Sam. 21. 17. We have heard much of the Cavalier size of the size of them had the honestie to swear this; Nay they are vvronged in reports, if some of them have not sworn the contrarie.

Object. But David vvould not fight against him.

0

d

e

C

Ir

ıt

e

ď

Answ. Indeed he never did fight against him, because his number never vvere considerable till tovvards the last, but he vvould have forti fied the Citie of Keilab against him; And it had been a strange madness to have had 600, men with him, if his conscience would have suffere him to have done nothing but flee : fure one might more easily be his then 600. But there is a plain text affuring us that David and his men would have done more then run up and down, if occasion had served 1 Chron. 12. 16. and so forward. When divers of the children of Fi dah and Benjamin came to joyne with him , David vyent out to mee them, and faid, If ye be come to help me, &cc. But if ye come to betray me to my enemies, I being innocent, the God of our fathers look upon it and rebuke it. Now mark their answer, the spirit came upon Amas the chief Captain, and he faid, Thine are we David, and of thy fide, peace he to thee, and peace to thy helpers: then David received them, and mad them Captains of the hand: Can any man imagine their meaning was to run up and down the Countries with him, if they were able to cop with any number that Saul should bring, or send against them : especi ally adding this to it. That they fell to him from the few

1388 (20)

day by day, till his host was like an host of God. Now by these mens argument, if Davids host had been fourtie thousand, and Saul come against him but with five or six hundred, they must all have sled from him, and not have put it to a battell. Credat Judaus Appella, non ego.

Object. But the Fathers of the Primitive times knew no defence but

preces & lachryme in all their unjust sufferings.

Answ. 1. It follows not, because they knew it not, therefore we cannot know it: there might be speciall reasons of Gods dispensations towards them. 2. Their Liberties and Religion were not established by Law; and this was the cause, faith Abbot Bishop of Salisbury, why the Christians in the Primitive times, before their Religion was established by Law, cadebantur non cadebant, would rather be killed then kill: But fter the times of Constantine, when Religion was established, they shook off the yoke of persecution from the Church, & cadebant non cadebantur, hey did kill rather then be killed. 3. Where did any of the Fathers ever oppose this opinion, and condemn this practice, that is, declaring it unawfull, especially for a representative body to defend themselves against the unjust violence of their mis-led Princes? I beleeve if any such estimonies were to be found, the Parliament should have heard of them petore this time. 4. We want not examples of such defence in the Prinitive times, when once Religion was establisht by Edict of the Ronane Empire, and Licinius the Emperour of the East (legum violator macimus, contrary to Law and his Covenant) would perfecute the Chritians, they defended themselves by Arms, and Constantine the great joyned with them; And as Eusebius saith, held it his dutie, infinitum homium genus, paucis nefariis hominibus tanquam quibus dam corruptelis, è medio ublatis, incolumes servare: To deliver an infinite multitude of men, by cutting offa fev v vvicked ones, as the pefts and plagues of the time. The Christians living under the Persian King, and vvronged by him, sought or help from the Romane Emperour Theodofius, and vvere affifted by him; and when the King of Persia complained that Theodosius should meddle in affairs of his Kingdome, Theodofius answered, that he did not only protect them because they were suppliants, but was ready to defend them, and no way to fee them fuffer for Religion, it being the fame with their

1389

(21)

their own. It feems they thought it as lawfull to help an innocent people against the oppressions of their own Prince, as for one neighbour to fuccour another against theeves and robbers. The Macedonians obtained of the Emperour Constantius, four thousand armed men to help them drive out the Novatians from Paphlagonia, the Orthodox affisted the Novatians against the unjust violence, and were armed falcibio clavis, & securibus, with fithes, clubs and hatchets, and cut off almost all the Souldiers, and many of the Paphlagonians. At Constantinople the Orthodox defended Paulus his Election against Macedonius and his abettors, though assisted with the Militarie Forces, and the Historian blames them onely for killing the Commander Hermogenes. Justina Valentinianus mother, infected with Arianisme, commanded to banish Ambrose, but the people resisted, and for a while deseated the plot of them who would have fent Ambrofe into banishment. The inhabitants of Armenia the greater, professing the Christian Faith, were abused by the Persians (among whom they lived) especially for their Religion, they entred into a league with the Romanes for their safetie. You see here are some examples where the ancient Christians used defensive Arms, and I doubt not but such as are well read in the stories of those times might produce many more.

ob. But there is one Doctour who goes about to prove by reason, that oppressed Subjects should not desend themselves against their Princes, though bent to subvert Religion, Laws, and Liberties, because (for sooth) such resistance tends to the dissolution of Order and Government, that is, to disable Princes from subverting Religion, Law, and Liberty (which is the very dissolution of all Order and Government) tends to the dissolution of all Order and Government; as if hindring a man from pulling down his house, were the pulling down the house: As if the hindring the Pilot from dashing the Ship against the rock; tended to dash the Ship against the rock; If any man else see any colour of reason in this reason, I desire them to make it appear, for for my part I can

fee none.

And indeed the case is so clear, that most, of them who cry down defensive Arms, though they use such Scriptures and Arguments to work upon the consciences of people, yet when they come to dispute

will hardly endure to have the Question rightly stated, (as being unwilling to dash against the rock of most learned Divines, whether Proestants or Papists, and, I think, of almost all Politicians) but fall to liscosse matters of fact, charging the Parliament with invading the lings just Prerogative, usurping an exorbitant power and authority. &c. ea, His Majestie in all his Declarations insists onely upon this, never uggesting that in Conscience they are prohibited to defend themselves, n case he should violently invade their Liberties, yea, expresly grants, hat there is power sufficient legally placed in the Parliament to pre-

And therefore now I leave the case of Divinitie, and shall more briefly give you an account what satisfied me in the second, I mean matter of fact, that His Majestie being seduced by wicked counfell did levie war against the Parliament: My great evidence was, the Parliament judged so; the judgement of a Parliament of England was never questioned till now by a people of England: all Patents; Charters, Commissions, Grants, Proclamations, and Writs of the Kings of England, receive their judgement, and are often repealed and made null by a Parliament: all controversies betwixt the King and Subject receive their finall determination in the Parliament, the judgements of all other Courts are ratified or nullified by a Parliament. I have heard some wife men say, That a Parliament in England like Pauls spirituall man) judgeth all, and it self is judged of none; and therefore if I should give you no other account of my entring upon my Office in the Armie (which was not to fight, nor meddle in the Councell of War, but onely to teach them how to behave themselves according to the Word, that God might be with them) should I (I say) give no other account but the determination of that wife affembly, I should be acquitted by indifferent men. But although I had learned, that no dishonourable thing should be imagined of that Honourable Assembly, yet I held it my dutie not to yeeld blinde obedience, or go by an implicite Faith, but search whether the things were so; and the ratner, because both sides have appealed to heaven, to that God, who no doubt in due time will clear the righteous cause: And upon my search these

It was very cleare, that the perfons too much prevailing with his Ma jesty, had long before this Parliament, a designe for over throwing on Laws, enflaving our Liberties, and altering our Religion; and it had for far prevailed, that we were tantum non swallowed up; and when through the good providence of God, this Parliament was called, and man hopes conceived, that now his Majesty seeing the mischief of adhering to fuch ill counfellours, would for the time to come be wholly guide by the great Councell of his Kingdome; alas it foon appeared that the fame kinde of Counfellours were ftill most prevailing, insomuch tha (foon after the pacification with Scotland) the Northern Army should have been brought up to London, as appeares by the very cathes o fome who should have acted it, a thing then thought so pernicious, tha not only the chief Actors fled beyond the Seas, but many reall Cour tiers earnestly solicited their friends in both Houses, that this their in excusable errour might be passed over; and now to begin upon a new fcore. But that which made me the more suspect their prevailing with his Majesty was, that, the horrid Rebellion broken out in Ireland, the Rebels pretending his Majesties and the Queenes Commission for their warrant, it was at least three moneths after, before they were proclaim ed Traytours, and when it was done, no Copies of the Proclamations to be got for love or money, whereas when the Scots were proclaimed Rebels and Traytours, it must speedily be published in all the Churche of England. I must acknowledge, this made me to think, that the Parlia ment had just cause to be jealous of great danger. But when His Maje fly returned from Scotland, discharged the guard which the Parliamen had set for their own safety; and an other denied, except under the charge of the Queens Chamberlain, and His Majesty himself entertai ned divers Captaines as a super-numerary guard at Whitehall, went to the House of Commons after that manner, to demand the five member to be delivered unto Him; The Earle of Newcastle (now General of th Armie of Papilts in the North) sent to Hull attempting to seize it an the Magazine there, his Majestie, according to the Lord Digbies letters retiring from the Parliament, to a place of strength; and the Queen go ing beyond Sea to raise a partie there; I must have shut my eyes, if I ha not feen danger, and thousands of thousands would have tho

a

O

19

n.

r,

OE

se

Majesty (as they did) to settle the Militia, all former settlings of it by Commissions of Lievrenancy being confessedly void: His Majestie reusing this in that manner as they thought necessary for security, they oted the putting of it into the hands of persons whom they thought he State might conside in (though alas many of them since have discoered to us how vaine is our hope in man) And secured the Town of sull, and the Magazine there: soon after this his Majesty in the north seied New-Castle, and under the name of a guard begun to raise an army; Il this was done before the Parliament voted that his Majesty seduced by wicked councell, &c. And when his Majesties Army was more enreased, he then declared that he was resolved by strength to recover sull and the Magazine, and to suppresse the Militia: After this indeed he Parliament began to make vigorous preparations by their propositi-

ins for Plate, Money, Horse, &c.

This being the true progresse and state of the businesse, I saw clearly lalong, the Kingdome and Parliament were in danger, that it was herefore necessary to have the Militia and Navie in safe hands, which his Majesty also acknowledged; That he refused to settle it for a time a the way they conceived necessary, and that by the judgement of both, sources when they were full, they had power by the sundamental Laws of the Kingdome to settle it, especially for a time, upon His Majesties regulall; That His Majesty raised force, and declared it was to suppresse he Militia, and recover Hull and the Magazine, is as clear, and hade pregnant preparations both at home and beyond the Seas: and the civil Lawyers say, that pregnant preparations are the beginning of a War. The onely Question remaining was, whether the Parlament did justly in ordering the Militia, and securing the Magaine and Navy, in a confessed time of danger upon such his Majesties, estuall.

What the Kings power and perogative, and what the Parliaments ower was for securing the Militia in time of danger according to the aws of England, was out of my profession, and in great part above my kill. But certainly unlesse I was bound rather to believe the Votes of he Papists, and other Delinquents about his Majesty, who hitherto had reveiled to bring upon us all the miseries that we have laine under.

England, (which so far as I have heard, was never by Common Law or Statute Law presumed to be guilty of , or charged with the overthrow of the Kings prerogative, or the Lawes and Liberties of the Subjects untill now, and who have given us so much evidence of their wildome, watchfulnesse and faithfulnesse y I was bound to be con cluded under their Testimony, and so consequently that His Majest was feduced, &cc. And futely, if men who ferve upon Justice be tyveen Prince and People, party and party, in matters of Life or State may rest in the resolution of the learned Judges, that this, or that is law when themselves know it not; well might I rest in the judgemen and resolution of that Court, which is the Judge of all the Judicature in the Land. And in case I were unsatisfied, to whom should I appeale in whose judgement I might more safely rest, especially when I sav their Vote agreeable to that which is the supreme Law of all Nat ons; namely, that publick safety is the highest and deepest Law, an that it is requisite that every State have a povver in time of danger t preferve it felf from ruine? and no Lavy of England more known then that the Parliament is the highest Court, from whence there no appeal. This satisfaction I had then, and since by the Declaration and Remonstrances of the Parliament, concerning these Military ma ters, and by other Books lately published, it is most apparent that the have not usurped upon His Majesties prerogative, but what they have done is agreeable to the practice of former Parliaments, In putting the Militia, Forts, and Navy into fafe hands in these times of danger: Ar that it was therefore lavvfull for them, yea, necessary to take up the Defensive Armes, and consequently to call in for supply from all su vvho should share with them in the benefit of preservation, and to d able fuch from hurting them, who were contrary minded. I spend time to answer the Objections that some make, that His Majesty cou not tarry at London with fafety of His Person, that the Lords at Commons that are with Him, were driven away by popular T mults, and could not enjoy freedome of their Votes, &c. Becar I thinke these things are now beleeved by none, but such as wor beleeve no good of the Parliament, though one should rise from dead again.

Thus Sir, you have a just account of the grounds that first induce

J) 2

ne to owne this Caule; you defire to know whether I see not yet as a repent of what I have done: I confesse I never undertooke a ything but I saw cause to repent of my miscarriage through the correction which cleaves to me, and great cause I have to bewaile my nany failings in this great Worke; but for the Worke it self, as solemnely professe, I never saw cause to repent of my appearing it, the Cause is a right cause, the Cause of God, my call to it, a leare call, and though the Work prove harder and longer then this it was thought, yet the Cause is far clearer then at the rist.

The work indeed is harder then I expected, for whoever could have eleeved he should have seen in England so many Lords and Commons en after their solemne Protestation, to defend the Priviledge of Parment, and their owne Vote; that His Majesty seduced by wicked ouncell, intended War against the Parliament, so shamefully to betray e trust committed to them? so many of the Protestant Profession yning with an army of Papilts (under pretence of maintaining the rotestant Religion against a Protestant Parliament) to fight themlves into Popery? so many unworthy Gentlemen fight to destroy Parliament, and thereby fight themselves and posterity into slavery: many Papists in Armes contrary to so many knowne Lawes, and med with Commission, to disarme Protestants contrary to their nowne Liberties, and the Protestants who exceed their number an undred fold not to rife as one man to subdue them? And who would we believed that he should have seen after all this an Army raised by e Parliament in such an extremity, for such an end, (having hazzard their lives, undergone all these hardships, performed all these serces, and whose untimely disbanding may prove our irrecoverable rue) straitned for want of pay while England is worth a groate. Behold, gard, and wonder marvelloufly, I relate a thing which many will not elieve though it be told unto them. Hab. 1.5.

But though the Worke be harder, the case is still clearer, both in reard of the intentions of the Parliament, and also of their adversaries. or the Parliament, multitudes would not believe, but that they had or there aimes then their own and the publique safety, that they inpell him to that which is not fit for a King to yeeld to; But now by their frequent petitioning of his Majestie, especially by the reasonable-nesse of their late Propositions and Instructions, wherein they desire a present disbanding of all Arms, even before any other bills were past, and were willing to have the Ports, Forts, and Ships, &c. of the King-dome resigned up into his Majesties hands, provided onely that in these times of dangers they might, pro hac vice, be put into the hands of such as the State might conside in; The sincerity of their intentions are now so plain, that I think Malignity it self cannot but be convinced of them.

And the intentions of the contrary councels are as plain, their mask now falling off, and their designe more then ever discovered to be the overthrow of Parliament, Liberty, Laws and Religion. For at first we had Declarations to preserve all the just priviledges of Parliament, but now we see men proclaimed Traytors for executing the Commands of the two Houses, and the two Houses themselves, if not in direct, yet in equivalent termes proclaimed Traytors, yea, denyed to be a Parliament, because his Majestie withdraws himself, and after multitudes of Petitions refuses to returne; and because many of their Members have deserted them, and are protected by his Majestie from the Houses who have sent for them. Yea, they are required to recall their Votes as illegall, and that such as they have fined and imprisoned may bring their Habeas Corpus to be tried in an inferiour Court. Yea, people provoked to scorn them, and thereupon multitudes not fearing to trample upon, and cast as vile scorn and contempt unjustly upon that thrice-honorable Court, as ever was cast justly upon the Commissaries Courts.

We have heretefore been assured that the knowne Lawes of the Land should be the only rule of government: but (to name no other instances) now we see the Commission of Array to be justified to be Law, which the Parliament hath not only declared, but demonstrated, and the Countries (where ever it hath prevailed) found to be the utter destruction of all the Lawes made for the Subjects liberty.

Heretofore Proclamations were put out that no Papists should be entertained into his Majesties Army, because the resolution was

to maintaine the Protestant Religion; But now we see them armed, and armed with Commission, and Ptotestant Doctors in their writings justifying it; and being armed dare professe their Religion publickly, set up their masse in the second City of the Kingdome, cutting in pieces, and burning Bibles, and as multitudes of reports come from beyond the Seas, (and the supplies that come from thence confirme it) all the Papists in Christendome contributing to this War as to the Catholicke cause. Heretofore the Libertie of the Subject seemed to be stood for, yea defended against the Parliament, (as if it were possible the representative body should enflave it felf) and in the meane time while these things are promised, hundreds, yea thousands of his Majesties Subjects plundred, with His Majesties Proclamations against plundering, in the hands of diverse of the plunderers, And their persons led away in Ropes and Chaines like Turkish Gally-slaves, and many cast into Prisons and Dungeons, only for defending themselves against robbers and murderers abusing His Majesties Name: where their Jaylours use them worse then the Turkes doe their Christian slaves, or one that hath any thing of man in him could use a dog.

And when all these things are now done, the Parliament not only sitting, but having so much strength in the Field, what can vve expect when these men have prevailed, when at the putting on of their harnesse their usuall language is nothing but blasphemy against God, (not to be mentioned) and against His people, calling all that adhere to his and the Kingdomes Cause, Parliament dogs, and Parliament rogues ? what language will you expect to heare if once they come triumphantly to put it off? If while the event is uncertaine they cut us out such kinde of Lavves, Liberties, and Parliament priviledges as these are, if GOD for our sinnes sell us into their hands, thinke if you can, what Lavves Liberties, and Parliament-priviledges our posteritie shall finde recorded in our bloud: for our selves, alas, who shall live when God doth this? Nay. who would desire to live ? I vould rather with holy Austin make it my humble suite to that GOD vvhose are the issues of life and death that Hee would rather take mee from the Earth, then let mee live to see His deare Church, and my native Countrey, delivered

into the hands of such blasphemous and barbarous men.

is

Uf

So that in stead of repenting and withdrawing from the work, I could wish that my voice were able to reach into every corner of the kingdome, and that I could awaken all people to fee the danger and mifery that is flowing in upon them. That every foul might be quickened up to make his owne, and help to make Englands, bleeding, dying Englands peace with God, and every one who hath any interest in Heaven to cry mightily unto that God in whole hand the hearts of Kings are, and who rules in the Kingdomes of men, that the power of our God might be great towards us, in turning away these imminent calimities, and turning the heart of our King towards His great and faithfull Councell, and rescuing Him out of the hands of this Generation of men who delight in bllood. Our God hath not yet said, Pray not for this people: but if the Lord say he hath no delight in us, Righteous art thou O Lord, and just are all thy judgements: onely let us not be accessary to our own destruction, and the destruction of so Aourishing a Kingdome; let us not through our covetousnesse or cowardize, selfe-love or sloth, betray our Lawes, Liberties, Lives, Religion into the hands of men, from whose hands we befoole our selves if we expect more mercy, or lesse misery, then the poore Christians of Constantinople found with the Turkes, when thankes to their owne niggardlinesse 10 let it never be so with England) they fell into their hands. Oh let us labour to prevent their Swords thrusting into our bodies, and their Swords into our Soules, let our God doe with us what he vvill, let us doe vvhat vve should, and vvhile vve have any money in our purses, any blood in our veins, or any spirits in us, devote all to the maintenance of this rightfull cause: and if vve perish, vve perish.

Nor doe I feare to be for this condemned by any right discerning man as an incendiary to a Civill War, I know the miseries of a Civill War: Warre is the severest of all Gods judgements, and Civill Warre the cruellest of all Warres: where is the greatest hatred, the deepest treachery, the most unnatural butcheries, where the father murders the sonne, the sonne the Father, the brother embrues his hands in his brothers blood, and whoever gaines, all are

loofers:

loosers: Quis suror o Cives: que santa licentia belli? Oh the madnelle of our age and Countrey : If England have fuch a luft to War can we find no forraigne Enemies, but we must Warre against our selves, and at this time too, Cumque superba force Babylon Spolianda are to be levelled with the Earth: when Germany, when Ireland are to be rescued out of her bloudy pawes? Can vve finde no fitter Object for the fury of the Cannon, then our Townes, Houles, Bodies ? But alas! The Generation with whom we have to deal, had rather thousand times see the glory of England in the dust, then the pride of Rome: And though a civil War be miserable, yet no such misery as the peace which they would beteeme us, a Sicilian vespers, or a Parihan malacre, from which good Lord deliver us: Save, Lord, let the King hear us when we call.

Thus Sir, you have my thoughts at large, you may either lay this Letter by you, or communicate it for the latisfaction of others at your oven pleasure: I blesse God I am gathering strength, and hope ere long by my return to my Lord and the Army (if God please not to smile upon us with a safe Accommodation in the mean time) to give a reall proofe that my judgement is the same that formerly it hash been, and I hope you believe my affection is the same still to you, and therefore without surther trouble. I subscribe my selfe

Your loving friend Stephen Marshal